

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/074,173	02/12/2002	James R. Butler	31223-81765 (COS 810CIP)	5878
7590 01/06/2004		EXAMINER		
Fina Technology, Inc. Attention: David J Alexander			DANG, THUAN D	
P.O. Box 674412			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77267-4412			1764	
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004	ļ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Δ	9				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,				
Office Action Summary	10/074,173	BUTLER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The state this party of the	Thuan D. Dang	1764					
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty bry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>12 February 2002</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)	⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.							
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26 and 27</u> are subject to restri	ction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E							
10) The drawing(s) filed on $2/12/02$ is/are:	-						
Applicant may not request that any objection	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a claim for complete a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign languated and the foreign languated and the first sentence was included in the first sentence.	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in Ap he priority documents have been in Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). or a list of the certified copies not in domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § in the first sentence of the specifical age provisional application has be	plication No eceived in this National Stage eceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) tion or in an Application Data Sheet. en received. § 120 and/or 121 since a specific					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	.948) 5) Notice of Int	rmmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/074,173

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-25, drawn to a catalytic process of dehydrogenation of alkyl aromatic to vinyl aromatic, classified in class 585, subclass 440.
- II. Claims 2 and 27, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass197.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand such as a radial reactor.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the search required for Group I is not required for group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Jackson on 12/23/03 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-25.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,173

Art Unit: 1764

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in responding to this Office action. Claims 19 and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-25 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,380,449. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the conflicting claims also discloses dehydrogenating an alkyl aromatic compound, namely ethylbenzene, to a vinyl aromatic compound, namely styrene in a tubular reactor having mixing section substantially the same as the applicants' claimed reaction zone. There are several differences, namely alkyl aromatic compounds used in the presently claimed process such as diethylbenzene, alkylnaphthalene. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the conflicting process by using any alkyl aromatic compound as the feed to produce corresponding vinyl compounds since

it is expected that alkyl group of these compounds would be dehydrogenated in the conflicting reactor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan D. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

10074173.1st December 23, 2003

The